1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	
4	ASTELLAS INSTITUTE FOR
5	REGENERATIVE MEDICINE, ET AL., Plaintiffs, Civil Action
6	No. 17-cv-12239-ADB
7	October 15, 2018 IMSTEM BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC. ET AL.,
8	Defendants. Pages 1 to 10
9	
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALLISON D. BURROUGHS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	JOHN J. MOAKLEY U.S. COURTHOUSE ONE COURTHOUSE WAY
14	BOSTON, MA 02210
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	JOAN M. DALY, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter
23	John J. Moakley U.S. Courthouse One Courthouse Way, Room 5507
2425	Boston, MA 02210 joanmdaly62@gmail.com
∠ :)	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
4	DAVID P. FRAZIER, Ph.D. REBECCA L. RABENSTEIN
5	Latham & Watkins LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W.
6	Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20004 202.637.2200
7	david.frazier@lw.com rebecca.rabenstein@lw.com
8	
9	TROY K. LIBERMAN Nixon Peabody LLP Evaborgo Place
10	Exchange Place 53 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109
11	617.345.1281 tlieberman@nixonpeabody.com
12	crrebernanemizonpeabody.com
13	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
14	TIMOTHY R. SHANNON Verrill Dana LLP
15	One Boston Place Suite 1600
16	Boston, Massachusetts 02108 617.309.2600
17	bstern@verrilldana.com tshannon@verrilldana.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(The following proceedings were held in open court before the Honorable Allison D. Burroughs, United States District Judge, United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, at the John J. Moakley United States Courthouse, One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts, on October 15, 2018.)

THE CLERK: This is civil action 17-12239, Astellas versus ImStem. Will counsel identify yourselves for the record.

MR. FRAZIER: David Frazier from Latham & Watkins for Astellas. I'm joined today by Reba Rabenstein also for Astellas.

MR. LEIBERMAN: Troy Leiberman, Nixon & Peabody, for Stem Cell Regenerative Medicine International.

MR. SHANNON: Tim Shannon, Verrill Dana for defendant ImStem.

THE COURT: We have denied the motion to dismiss. Now we're going to get a schedule set. They are willing to mediate it, it looks like. It looks like you think you're not ready to mediate it yet.

MR. FRAZIER: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't force anybody to mediation, except for the last case, unless that's actually what they want to do. But I don't normally force anybody to mediation

unless that's what the parties want to do. I don't know if you're both familiar, you're from out of town, if I'm repeating something you already know, my apologies.

We have the court mediation program. You can ask to have it mediated. It's free. We can refer to the pool generally. You can pick your mediator. You can say anyone but this mediator. I don't think anyone takes it personally. If you decide you want to mediate, let us know and we'll refer it. Or if you want to mediate it privately, that's your business, too, but this is free.

We have the magistrate judges who are here do the mediations, and they are really good. The other two people, we have Judge Harrington, who was a Federal District Court judge here now, who just does mediations full time. And Magistrate Judge Collings has been recalled just to do mediations. So he's also doing them full time. So if that appeals to you, that's what we'll do. But I don't force it. It seems like in a case like this it might be productive, but I leave it to you.

What I would propose here is the schedule you have is largely fine. I think I would just sort of stop it at September 6 and have you back in then to see where we are rather than kind of go the whole nine yards. Does that make sense?

MR. FRAZIER: That should be fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That leaves everything fully briefed and gives us some time to work on the summary judgment motion. It looks to me, given the nature of the case, that you probably need the expert reports before summary judgment. If I can save you some time and money by deferring the expert reports, I would, but it seems to me you'd probably need the experts.

MR. FRAZIER: I'd agree with that, Your Honor.

MR. SHANNON: I think we will.

THE COURT: We'll issue a scheduling order that gives you -- we'll go up through that September 6 date when the replies are due on the summary judgment and the Daubert briefs, and we'll either decide the summary judgment motion and bring you back in, or we'll have you back in for argument if it looks like it needs it. I know you all are contemplating a protective order.

MR. FRAZIER: Yes, Your Honor. We have a draft that we're preparing that we'll provide to Mr. Shannon here.

THE COURT: If you haven't already, look at some of the other protective orders that I've entered. I have some standard language that I use. I'm trying to deal with the issue of when we're in court and there's documents, and I basically put it all back in my discretion and just say we're going to be in court, and you haven't made other provisions for it. It's up to me in consultation with the parties to

decide what stays under seal and what doesn't.

You can take a look at my standard language. If it needs to be addressed in some way, if you want to do it, you can do it. If you don't do it, I'll just add on my standard language which you may find sort of Draconian. So you may want to do your own.

MR. FRAZIER: Understood, Your Honor. We'll check out the prior orders.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else for today?

MR. FRAZIER: Not for Astellas, Your Honor.

MR. SHANNON: Nothing for ImStem.

THE COURT: We need a date, Karen, for next September. No. Actually we don't. Are you guys committed to summary judgment motions?

MR. FRAZIER: Yes. I believe it would be helpful in this case, yes, Your Honor.

MR. SHANNON: I am not sure that we are. We're mindful as keeping the case as lean and quick-moving as possible. I'm not sure that we'll have summary judgment motions.

THE COURT: I'm happy to try it. It's sometimes quicker and cheaper just to try it. Since we're building the summary judgment into the schedule, I'm going to also build in there a date by which you can tell us if there's not going to be a summary judgment motion so we don't lose three months

sitting around waiting for them.

MR. SHANNON: Your Honor, may I drop a footnote in the record? This may not ever see the light of day again. I'd like to preserve the opportunity, I won't call it a right, to petition the Court to revisit this schedule if in the course of discovery we think it's unlikely that a summary judgment briefing process would be useful. This is a very fact-deep fight, who contributed what to an invention.

It strikes me preliminarily that summary judgment is extremely unlikely to be useful. It is the ordinary course to build it into the schedule, but we may well find in the course of discovery that there are simply fact questions. And if that's the case, I'd want to come back to the Court and ask that the Court simply try the case.

THE COURT: That's what I was just saying. If there's not going to be summary judgment motions, you let me know. I'll give you a date, and we won't leave that time built in. You have *Daubert* motions built in on the same schedule, though, as your summary judgment motions.

MR. FRAZIER: We do.

THE COURT: The other thing we can do is I could have you in at the end of fact discovery, and we can have a status conference. Would you prefer to do that?

MR. SHANNON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. FRAZIER: Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I will just tell you, I am going to be very disinclined to grant a Daubert motion. That's a place you can certainly save time and money. I'll rule on the objections to the expert as we go. Unless he's really, he or she is really out there, I think it goes to the weight, not the admissibility, and I'm going to be inclined to let it in. Your fact discovery is supposed to be over in So we'll have you in in the middle of March and see where we are. MR. SHANNON: Thank you. THE COURT: I'd rather try the case than rule on your summary judgment motion or your Daubert motions, but I will, of course, do either. MR. FRAZIER: We are certainly here to get to trial as well, Your Honor. I think we were hoping to keep open the possibilities of summary judgment. THE COURT: That's fine. MR. FRAZIER: A quick trial, certainly we'll discuss that with the client. THE COURT: Pet peeves. Partial summary judgment motions. MR. FRAZIER: What's the point? THE COURT: I'd rather just try it. I'm more familiar with this case than I am with many at this stage of the game because I have reviewed the motion to dismiss, and

```
it does seem pretty fact intensive.
 1
               MR. SHANNON: Your Honor, if you bring us back in
 2
 3
     at the end of March, then I'll put my footnote in bold, if I
     may, we may well want to revisit the question of whether or
 4
     not summary judgment briefing, and for that matter now that
 5
     you've raised it, Daubert, if it's really necessary.
 7
                THE COURT: That's what I'm bringing you in for.
     If it's not necessary, I'll give you a trial date. If it is
 8
     necessary, we'll set a schedule. Karen, let's give them a
 9
     date the middle of March.
10
11
                THE CLERK: How about Wednesday, March 20 at 3:30?
               MR. SHANNON:
                             That's perilously close to
12
     St. Patrick's Day.
13
14
                THE COURT: Hopefully you'll have recovered by
            I know the older you get, the longer it takes, but
15
     that's still a pretty good cushion. All right. Anything
16
     else for today then?
17
18
               MR. FRAZIER: No, Your Honor.
19
               MR. SHANNON: Thank you, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: We'll see you all in March.
20
21
                (Court recessed at 3:48 p.m.)
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
5	transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
6	matter to the best of my skill and ability.
7	
8	
9	
10	/s/ Joan M. Daly August 26, 2019
11	
12	
13	Joan M. Daly, RMR, CRR Date Official Court Reporter
14	Official Court Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	